UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/802,360	03/09/2001	Daniel A. Tealdi	7931P001	8325
Michael J. Mall	7590 02/18/200 ie	EXAMINER		
Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor, & Zafman LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025			FELTEN, DANIEL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3696	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/802,360	TEALDI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DANIEL S. FELTEN	3696		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters	•		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 36-45 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 36-45 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) in	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/24/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. References are evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than their specific disclosure [see In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969)]. In this case, the reference shows An online centralized financial products exchange system. The invention is a system, method and computer program product that creates a "marketplace" for end-to-end financial products life cycle transactions. More particularly, the invention provides a centralized exchange system for the trading of loans. The system includes a plurality of Web servers for receiving and providing loan information from and to subscribers on several Web clients and a database server for searching the pre-set rules to match potential buyers with sellers. The system also includes a database for storing information relating to negotiations (i.e., bidding) for the sale of loans and for storing pre-set rules for pre-registered buyers and sellers. The system further includes a database and server for storing risk/return information that is made available to subscribers for analysis. In regards to the applicant's assertions that the primary reference fails to disclose fulfillment of commitment contracts according to underwriting criteria with the specified number of loan products, it is respectfully submitted that references, in determining obviousness are not read in isolation but for what they fairly teach in combination with prior art as a whole. It is also submitted that references are evaluated by what they suggest. Levine suggests loan pooling which is the buying and selling of groups of loans (see column 7, line 63 to column 8, line 26). It is submitted that loans are themselves commitment contracts inasmuch as they are legal obligations between borrowers and sellers. It is known in the art that there are Application/Control Number: 09/802,360

Art Unit: 3696

several criteria that may be used in which to underwrite a loan or a set of loans (see column 9, lines 10-52). Thus the rejections are maintained below.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-6, 36-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in view of Levine (US 6,233,566) in view of In re Venner, 262 F. 2d 91, 120 USPQ 193, 194 (CCPA 1958). The amendments have bee considered in the remarks above.

Levine discloses, as in claims, a method for managing loan products on a server (see Levine Abstract, col. 5, lines 55-60),

--receiving by a processor a commitment contract (bid), the commitment contract specifying a number of loan products (loan pool) to be fulfilled to satisfy said commitment contract (see col. 23, line53 to col. 24, line 7).

Art Unit: 3696

- --managing by said processor one or more underwriting criteria for said loan products, wherein one of said loan products has a corresponding group of underwriting criteria (see Levine, col. 3, lines 16-28; and col. 20, lines 65 to col.21, line 3).
- --wherein the step of managing one or more underwriting criteria comprises the steps of: receiving said underwriting criteria from one or more financial institutions (see Levine, col. 3, lines 16-28); storing said underwriting criteria and providing to a first financial institution a group of underwriting criteria corresponding to one of said loan products (see Levine, col. 3, lines 16-28), as in claims 2, 36
- --wherein the step of managing one or more underwriting criteria comprises the steps of: assigning a fulfillment grade to a funded loan; and maintaining a record of funded loans for said loan products (see rejections above), *as in claim 3*, 3-39
- -- wherein said fulfillment grade is based on a reliability of data obtained during a fulfillment process (see rejection above), as in claim 4, 40-43
- --has nonfunctional descriptive material that is not considered patentable (see rejection above), as in claim 5
- --has nonfunctional descriptive material that is not considered patentable (see rejection above), as in claim 6,
- --receiving a request from a first financial institution to transfer to a second financial institution access rights (notification) to said data for one of said one or more loans (see col. 21, line 58 to col. 22, line 37); and

Application/Control Number: 09/802,360

Art Unit: 3696

--transferring said access rights (allowing access) to said second financial institution (see col. 21, line 58 to col. 22, line 37);

--settling one or more loans by providing data for one or more registered financial institutions, as in claim 8,

---receiving a request from one of said one or more registered financial institutions to review one or more loans (see col. 2t, line 58to col. 22, line 37),

--providing and registered financial institution with a predetermined time in which to reject a sale price of said one loan (see col. 21, line 58 to col. 22, line 37),

--automatically settling said loan at said sale price when said registered financial institution accepts, and alternatively fails to reject said sale price Of said one loan before said predetermined time expires,

--instructing said registered financial institution to send payment to a settlement financial institution (see col. 21, line 58 to col. 22, line 37), as in claim 9,

--wherein said sale price is a settled price between financial institutions (see col. 21, line 58 to col. 22, line 37), *as in claim 10,*

Levine discloses an underwriting processor (Levine column 3, lines 7+) but does not discloses automatically managing by the processor of one or more underwriting criteria and automatically executing the underwriting criteria. However, in <u>In re Venner</u>

The courts held, that broadly providing an automatic or mechanic means to replace a manual activity, as is here, which accomplished the same result is not sufficient to distinguish over the

Page 6

prior art [see MPEP 2144.04]. Thus to automatically managing the processor one or more underwriting criteria and automatically executing actions would be what one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention would expect, having no unexpected results to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL S. FELTEN whose telephone number is (571)272-6742. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

Application/Control Number: 09/802,360 Page 7

Art Unit: 3696

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Dixon can be reached on (571) 272-6803. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel S Felten Primary Examiner Art Unit 3696

/Daniel S Felten/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3696